REMARKS

Docket No.: 247079-000237USPT

Claims 1-31 are presently pending. Claim 11 has been amended to delete a portion thereof for consistency with the remaining portion of the claim. None of the claims have been cancelled. Therefore, claims 1-31 remain pending in the present application.

Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 1-2, 4-6, 8-11, 12, 16-17, 21-25, and 26-30 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0130033 to Loose ("Loose") in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,217,448 to Olsen ("Olsen").

Claims 3 and 31 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Loose in view of Olsen further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,648,757 to Slomiany et al. ("Slomiany").

Claim 7 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Loose in view of Olsen further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,876,284 to Acres et al. ("Acres").

Claims 13-15 and 20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. as being unpatentable over Loose in view of Olsen further in view of U.S. Patent No. 7,008,324 to Johnson et al. ("Johnson") and U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0186739 to Paulsen et al. ("Paulsen").

Independent Claims 1, 11, and 21

Independent claims 1 and 11 recite that the display of the visual bonus indicator on the first display of a first gaming machine is terminated "without awarding a bonus award to said player of said first gaming machine." Similarly, independent claim 21 recites that the visual bonus indicator controller is adapted to terminate display of the visual bonus indicator on certain ones of said displays "without awarding a bonus award to said player of said gaming machines having said certain ones of said displays thereon."

Neither Loose nor Olsen discloses, teaches, or suggests the features set forth in claims 1, 11, and 21. Specifically, neither Loose nor Olsen discloses terminating the display of the visual bonus indicator on a first display of a first gaming machine "without awarding a bonus award to said player of said first gaming machine," said termination indicating to the player that the probability of the bonus award being awarded on said first gaming machine no longer exists, as in claims 1 and 11. Likewise, neither Loose nor Olsen discloses terminating display of a visual bonus indicator on certain displays "without awarding a bonus award to said player of said gaming machines having

11108295.1

said certain ones of said displays thereon, said display of said visual bonus indicator indicating to a player **a probability** of a bonus award being awarded on a gaming machine upon which it is displayed," as in claim 21. Moreover, neither Loose nor Olsen discloses "said terminating display of said visual bonus indicator indicating to said player that there is no longer a probability of said bonus award being awarded on said gaming machine upon which it was displayed", as in claim 21.

Docket No.: 247079-000237USPT

First, it should be noted that the graphical set of hands displayed in Olsen cannot be equated with the visual bonus indicator (or portion thereof) of the present claims because the set of hands of Olsen remains displayed throughout the entire bonus mode and, thus, is not "terminated," as in the present claims. Furthermore, it would not be obvious to remove or "terminate" the graphical set of hands during the bonus mode in Olsen. Displaying the set of hands in an up position or a down position indicates the eligibility status of a player in a bonus mode. Olsen, col. 6, ll. 43-45, 53-54. The purpose of the set of hands is to improve upon the clarity of prior art games, which "relied on lights and text messages, which may be unclear to participants." *Id.*, col. 6, ll. 47-49. Thus, to remove the set of hands prior to the bonus mode ending would defeat this purpose because it would be unclear to participants and onlookers whether certain gaming machines were eligible for the bonus mode.

Furthermore, the Office Action acknowledges that "Loose is silent on said displaying indicating to a player that a probability exists of a bonus award being awarded on said first gaming machine". Office Action, p. 4. The Applicants respectfully submit that Olsen is also silent regarding the displaying of a visual bonus indicator on a certain display indicating that a probability exists of a bonus award being awarded. Rather, Olsen's display of a visual bonus indicator – raised hands with a hot potato therein – on a particular display indicates that a certainty exists of the bonus award or bonus opportunity being awarded. For example, Olsen states, "When the lamp L is lit 50, that player holds a hot potato C in hands 20c in display D, as the player is entitled to receive a multiplied payoff on a designated payoff won in the conventional game play."

Olsen, col. 8, ll. 28-31 (emphasis added). Olsen further states, "When a selected machine S holds a hot potato 20c in display D, the current underlying game completes and any payoff received from the game is increased by the bonus multiplier BM" Id. at col. 9, ll. 3-6 (emphasis added).

The Office Action also erroneously asserts that Olsen discloses "terminating said display of said visual bonus indicator on said first display, without awarding a bonus award to said player of

11108295.1

Amendment in Response to Final Office Action Dated July 8, 2008

said first gaming machine, said termination indicating to said player that there is no longer a probability of the bonus award being awarded on said first gaming machine." Office Action, p. 5. The Office Action states that such termination is disclosed by Olsen's "hands down display where there is no longer a probability to win a bonus." Id. (citing Olsen, col. 6, 1l. 34-63). However, a "hands down" depiction in Olsen is displayed to a player before the bonus mode begins, indicating to the player that he or she is "Not Eligible" for the bonus mode. Olsen, col. 6, ll. 40-45. Thus, a hands down depiction does **not** indicate to a player that there is no longer a probability of the bonus award being awarded, as in the present claims. Rather, the hands down depiction of Olsen, which remains unchanged throughout the bonus mode, indicates that that player was never eligible for the bonus mode in the first place.

Docket No.: 247079-000237USPT

Accordingly, the Applicants believe that independent claims 1, 11, and 21 are allowable. Claims 2-10, 12-20, and 22-31, which depend on claims 1, 11, or 21, are believed to be allowable for at least the same reasons.

Conclusion

It is the Applicants' belief that all of the claims are now in condition for allowance and action towards that effect is respectfully requested. The Applicants respectfully request that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case. If there are any matters that may be resolved or clarified through a telephone interview, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned attorney at the number indicated.

It is believed that no fee is presently due; however, should any fees be required, the Commissioner is authorized to deduct the fees (except the issue fee) to Nixon Peabody LLP, Deposit Account No. 50-4181, Order No. 247079-000237USPT.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: September 8, 2008 By: /Elizabeth W. Baio, Reg. No. 62744/

> Elizabeth W. Baio Nixon Peabody LLP 161 N. Clark St., 48th Floor Chicago, IL 60601 Attorney For Applicants

9 11108295.1